## Metric Spaces and Topology Lecture 13

Cantor-Bendixson Knorm, Polish spaces have the PSP In particular, Polish spaces satisfy the continuum hypothesis. su fact, X uniquely decouposes into a disjoint union PUU of a partect closed space P (so if P#Ø, 2"CSP) and a ctb) open U. Thoughts. For exaple: χ € [0] U { 1 : WEINT Here  $P = \emptyset$ u = X. Another example: X = P=[07, u=[1]1K=X1P Proof. We remove all ctol open sets from X. To see Kt their union is still attal, recall that X is 2nd attal,

As a ctfol basis {Un} new and let U = V & Un : Un is ctfol }. Then U is ctfol being a ctfol union of ctfol open why. let P == X \ U, s. P is closed. It remains to she Wht P is perfect. Let VEP be relatively open al we exply. Then I open V'SX in X it. V=V'AP= POIL X = V'\U. If V contained only one element, V' would be abol, so we would have removed if (ula. ? he is all (my? benne it's a mian of chl basic open sets). Thus, V und within unothly - many elements. HW Discuss Cutor-Bendixson rank ad build a closed subseptive of 21N of rank nEW. HW Well-ordered strictly increasing open sets. <u>Coc.</u> All closed subsets at my perted Polish space (e.g. IR) satisfy the PSP. Recall from the optional homework grestion that every

by subset of a Polish atric your is also Polish up to suitching to as equivalent metric. Thus, Gj subsets also have the PSP. With a bit of Descriptive let Theory, we can show My all Borel subsets have the PSP. It can be shown that actimous inages of Bonel setes also have the PSP, however, whether or not their couplewents have the PSP is independent of ZFC.

Topological Measure (= Baire Category)

Nowhere dense cets (Sump) let (X, d) be retric space (although all intions below make sense in a topological space). A set S ≤ X is call somewhere dense if it is dense in some voncepty open set UEX, i.e. SAU is clease in U. Otherwise, we say that I is compare deuse (n.d.).

Pop. For a w SEX, TFAE: (1) S is u.d. (2) I noventy open U, 3 noverty open VEU r.f. SAV=10.

lor. (a) A closed set is u.d. (-> if has \$ interior. (b) Upgrade: a at is u.d. (-) it is contained in a dosed ut at Ø interior.

Prop. N. J. sets form an ideal, i.e. they are closed under subsets of finite unions. Proof. For finite mions, we just weed to prove that a union of two u.d. sets is u.d. (beare of induction), HW

D-true: exceptes nonseptrable metric spaces: O The space B(K, Y) of bld functions, for X infinite of 141=2, with the unit not In particular, lo (N) := B(N, IR) = the space of bold seque.

$$\forall (x_u), (y_u) \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(W), \quad \mathcal{J}_{u}((x_u), (y_u)) = s_{up} |x_u - y_u|,$$
  
 $\forall (x_u), (y_u) \in 2^{W} \in \mathcal{L}_{\infty}(W),$   
 $d_{u}(x_u), (y_u)) = 1 \quad c \Rightarrow (x_u) \neq (y_u), so we have$   
 $u \text{ continuum subset of } (w(W)) of paisuise distance one}$   
 $e(enents).$ 

<u>Det</u>. A subset of a metric space is called meager (Udmy) it it's a ctbl union of u.d. sets.

O In C([0,1], IR) with the withorn retric, the set of all somewhere differentiable functions (differentiable at least at one point) is meagure. Warning A ut SEX can be marga in X but nonmeagre in a subspace YEX, c.e. SAY i nonneagre in Y. E.g. IR E IR<sup>2</sup> is neagre in IR<sup>2</sup> but REIR is nonneagre (as will be implied by Baire (ate yory theorem) - Also, 107 in IR is n.d. but 403 in Z is open and non-reagre. Example of a separable but not 2nd atbl topological space. X = IR but with the following topology: R The basis of this dop is the set 203 and sets of the brue 20, r3 for each rEIR 103. Then 20, r3 for each rEIR 103. Then 20, is dense, so X is separable, but ills not 2th attal bene ende set (0, r) would need to be in every basis.